

Introduction to Cryptography

Part VI: Encryption based on LWE

Clémence Chevignard & André Schrottenloher

Inria Rennes
Team CAPSULE

Inria



Context

Recap

The public-key cryptosystems we have seen so far:

- **Public-key encryption:** RSA, ElGamal
- **Digital signatures:** RSA FDH

are based on:

Recap

The public-key cryptosystems we have seen so far:

- **Public-key encryption:** RSA, ElGamal
- **Digital signatures:** RSA FDH

are based on:

- the **RSA assumption** (RSA)
- the **Decisional Diffie-Hellman** assumption in well-chosen Abelian groups (safe-prime, ECC)

These assumptions:

- have been here for a long time
- are **well understood**
- are **trusted**
- allow quite **efficient** schemes (key sizes, computation time, etc.)

Other schemes

There exists **many other schemes**, depending on **different security assumptions**, some **well understood**, others less (recall:

$\text{trust}(t) = \int_0^t \text{cryptanalysis } dt$).


They have been around for as long as RSA / Dlog (ex.: McEliece code-based cryptosystem from 1978), but received **less attention** and did not compete well with them.

With a few exceptions (e.g., hash-based signature standards), anything else than RSA / Dlog was purely theoretical research **until quite recently**.

Quantum computing

Quantum computing is a computational model which is equivalent to Turing machines regarding calculability, but apparently not (we don't have proof) regarding complexity.

- Initiated in the 80s with the prospect of **simulating a complex quantum mechanical system** with a “controlled” one
⇒ e.g., to understand protein folding
- Could it also be used to speed up **classical computations**?

 Deutsch, “Quantum theory, the Church-Turing principle and the universal quantum computer”, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. 1985

Shor's algorithm

- In the 1990s, the quantum computing model was well-defined and people started finding **quantum speedups** on some computing tasks.

Shor's algorithm

- In the 1990s, the quantum computing model was well-defined and people started finding **quantum speedups** on some computing tasks.

1994: Shor's algorithm

- Factorization of n -bit integers in $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ operations
- Solving n -bit Dlog instances **in any Abelian group** in $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ operations

Shor's algorithm

- In the 1990s, the quantum computing model was well-defined and people started finding **quantum speedups** on some computing tasks.

1994: Shor's algorithm

- Factorization of n -bit integers in $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ operations
- Solving n -bit Dlog instances **in any Abelian group** in $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ operations

1996: Grover's algorithm

- Solve an exhaustive search problem in the $\sqrt{\cdot}$ of the classical time
- Ex.: n -bit preimage search in $\mathcal{O}(2^{n/2})$

Consequences for cryptography

Quantum computing **is not all-powerful**, but performs surprisingly well for some crypto problems.

Public-key

- Shor's algorithm **completely breaks** RSA and Dlog-based crypto (about 10^9 operations required to factor 2048-bit RSA).

⇒ need to develop **post-quantum** crypto based on other assumptions.

Secret-key

- Grover's algorithm reduces the generic security levels and the costs of some attacks
- Other attacks occur but they are typically less spectacular than Shor

⇒ need to patiently evaluate security against quantum attackers.

Post-quantum cryptography

Post-quantum crypto = crypto that remains secure in the presence of a quantum adversary.

This is not science-fiction:

- IBM already possesses quantum computer with a few hundreds qubits.
- The first (NIST) standards for PKE and signatures were completed last year, deployment is ongoing.

Bad-LWE

A bad PKE (do not use it!)

$$A \times s = b$$

- Choose a public matrix $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\ell \times n}$ at random
- Choose $s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ at random: our private key
- Let $(A, b := As)$ be our public key

Still a bad PKE (do not use it!)

KeyGen:

- Private key: random $s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$
- Public key: random matrix A , $As = (a_i \cdot s) := (b_i)$

Encrypt $m \in \{0, 1\}$:

- Pick a random vector $r \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$
- Return $c_1, c_2 := rA, (m + r \cdot b)$

Decrypt $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n+1}$:

- Return $m = c_2 - c_1 \cdot s$

Still a bad PKE (do not use it!)

KeyGen:

- Private key: random $s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$
- Public key: random matrix A , $As = (a_i \cdot s) := (b_i)$

Encrypt $m \in \{0, 1\}$:

- Pick a random vector $r \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$
- Return $c_1, c_2 := rA, (m + r \cdot b)$

Decrypt $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n+1}$:

- Return $m = c_2 - c_1 \cdot s$

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 - c_1 \cdot s &= (m + r \cdot b) - (rA) \cdot s \\ &= m + (r \cdot b) - r \underbrace{(A \cdot s)}_{=b} = m \end{aligned}$$

Why is this broken?

Why is this broken?

Let's do a Chosen-plaintext attack and always encrypt 0. We observe **samples:**

$$rA, (rA) \cdot s \quad (1)$$

for unknown r and s .

After enough samples we have R, Rs : invert R to find s .

The scheme is broken because linear algebra is easy. How can we complicate it?

The LWE Problem

“Small” distribution: a discrete Gaussian

Definition

Let $s > 0$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

- $\rho_{s,c}(x) = e^{-\pi\|x-c\|^2/s^2}$,
- $D_{s,c}(x) = \rho_{s,c}(x)/s^n$.

$D_{s,c}$ is the density of probability of the Gaussian distribution of center c and variance $ns^2/(2\pi)$ (of parameter s).

Lemma

Let $s > 0$, $\Pr_{x \leftarrow D_s} [\|x\| \geq \sqrt{ns}] \leq 2^{-n}$.

We use the Discrete Gaussian to generate small numbers in \mathbb{Z}_q (close to 0).

Learning with errors (LWE)

The LWE distribution $D_{n,q,\alpha}^{LWE}(\mathbf{s})$ is the discrete distribution over \mathbb{Z}_q^{n+1} obtained by:

- Sample $\mathbf{a} \leftarrow U(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$
- e is sampled through a gaussian distribution on \mathbb{Z}^ℓ .
- Return $(\mathbf{a}, (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}) + e \bmod q)$

Search-LWE

Let $\mathbf{s} \leftarrow U(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$. Given samples from $D_{n,q,\alpha}^{LWE}(\mathbf{s})$, find \mathbf{s} .

Decision-LWE

Let $\mathbf{s} \leftarrow U(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$. Distinguish between $D_{n,q,\alpha}^{LWE}(\mathbf{s})$ and $U(\mathbb{Z}_q^n \times \mathbb{Z}_q)$.

LWE (ctd.)

$$A \times s + e = b$$

With several samples:

- Choose a public matrix $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\ell \times n}$ at random
- Choose $s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ at random **and** a “small” error $e \in \mathbb{Z}_q^\ell$
- Return $A, b := (As + e)$

Theorem

Decision-LWE and search-LWE are equivalent if $q = \text{poly}(n)$.

Regev's PKE

LWE: encryption scheme

Let n, ℓ, q be integers with q prime and $\ell \geq 4(n+1) \log_2 q$ and $\alpha \in]0, 1/(8\ell)[$.

Define:

- **Compress**: decodes an integer mod q into 0 if it's closer to 0 or 1 if it's closer to $q/2$
- **Decompress**: encodes 0 to 0 and 1 to $q/2$

KeyGen:

- Private key: random $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$
- Public key: random matrix A , $A\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{s} + e_i) := \mathbf{b}_i$ with \mathbf{e} "small" according to discrete Gaussian $D_{\mathbb{Z}^n, \alpha}$

LWE: encryption scheme



Secure



Efficient

Encrypt $m \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$:

- Pick a random vector $r \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$
- Return $c_1, c_2 := rA, (\text{Decompress}(m) + r \cdot b)$

Decrypt $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n+1}$:

- $m = \text{Compress}(c_2 - c_1 \cdot s)$

Why this works:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_2 - c_1 \cdot s &= (\text{Decompress}(m) + r \cdot b) - (rA) \cdot s \\
 &= \text{Decompress}(m) + r(As + e) - rAs \\
 &= \text{Decompress}(m) + \underbrace{r \cdot e}_{\text{Small}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Security

Theorem

Regev's PKE is IND-CPA if decisional / search-LWE is hard.

- Is it IND-CCA? No (see TD)
- The scheme is inefficient (1 bit of message only), but serves as a basis for more advanced stuff

Security

Using a Gaussian error, we have a proof that an efficient algorithm for LWE would break a *gap shortest vector problem* on Euclidean lattices.

This is why LWE belongs to **lattice-based crypto**.